

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. Gilles Petitjean IM-1185 8978 09/622,634 02/06/2001 EXAMINER 31344 07/11/2005 **RATNERPRESTIA** FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR P.O. BOX 1596 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT WILMINGTON, DE 19899 3724

DATE MAILED: 07/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ω
*	Application No.	Applicant(s)
· Office Action Summary	09/622,634	PETITJEAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 May 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 15-24 and 29 is/are pending in the appear 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16 and 18-24 is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15,17 and 29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rithdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the original or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 05/26/05.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 15, 17 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 15, it is not clear "opening the lip of the channel to allow the central portion to be entrained along the conveyance direction" encompasses. The elected species of Fig. 10-12b and 16a-b does not show the above method. This method appears to be related to nonelected species of Fig. 18a-b.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 15, 17 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jobst (4549452) in view of Sumida et al. (5899129).

Art Unit: 3724

Jobst discloses the method of cutting web substantially as claimed including the step of cutting off at least one edge laterally from the central portion along a conveyance direction (Fig. 3); cutting at least one edge along a direction transverse to the conveyance direction (Fig. 10B); taking up the at least one edge through the edge channel (Fig. 11A); securing the at least one edge in a pulling unit (Fig. 11B); cutting the central portion transversely to the conveyance direction (Fig. 1 and 10B); forming a loop of edges at the vicinity of the edge channel (Fig. 11A); and cutting the central portion ahead of the channel an after securing the at least one edge (see Fig. 1). Jobst does not show opening the lid of the channel. However, Sumida et al. teaches the use of opening the lids 41 of the channel (Fig. 2) for the purpose of leading the end of a new cut-off edge strip with a greatest possible reliability. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Jobst's device by providing the lid as taught by Sumida et al. in order to obtain a device that leads the end of a new cut-off edge strip with a greatest possible reliability.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. The indicated allowability of claims 15 and 17 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Sumida et al. (5899129).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Daly is cited to show related device.

Art Unit: 3724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 571-272-4507. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ofs 7/7/05

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700